

Mercuriusplein 3, 2132 HA Hoofddorp

Postbus 242, 2130 AE Hoofddorp

DASB secretariat:

Tel: +31 (0)88 4960 391

secretariaat@rjnet.nl www.rjnet.nl

**EFRAG** 

Attn. EFRAG Technical Expert Group 35 Square de Meeûs B-1000 Brussels Belgique

Our ref: RJ-EFRAG 637 C Direct dial: +31 (0)88 4960391

**Date:** Hoofddorp, September 3, 2025

**Re:** DASB response to EFRAG's Draft Letter to the European Commission Regarding

Endorsement of IFRS 19 Subsidiaries without Public Accountability: Disclosures

Dear members of the EFRAG Technical Expert Group,

The Dutch Accounting Standards Board (DASB) appreciates the opportunity to provide comments on EFRAG's Draft Letter to the European Commission Regarding Endorsement of IFRS 19 Subsidiaries without Public Accountability: Disclosures.

The DASB strongly supports EFRAG's advise for endorsement.

IFRS 19 gives (Dutch) subsidiaries in scope of IFRS 19 the option to apply IFRS recognition and measurement requirements, while benefitting from reduced disclosures for the preparation of their financial statements. This results in less administrative burden regarding preparation and audit compared to applying IFRS in full (without the reliefs provided by IFRS 19) for such subsidiaries, when they choose to apply IFRS.

Additionally, for entities that apply Dutch GAAP and would like to apply IFRS and that fall within the scope of IFRS 19, the transitions to and application of IFRS becomes less complex (e.g. for entities that have a IFRS parent).

Although fewer disclosures may modestly narrow information for external users, we agree with the analysis made by EFRAG that this reduction is proportionate for subsidiaries in scope of IFRS 19 and should therefore not materially impair the value for users of the financial statements.

We have included our response to the comment form in Appendix 1.

If you have any questions, please do not hesitate to contact me.

Yours sincerely,

drs. G.M. van Santen RA, Chairman Dutch Accounting Standards Board

Appendix 1 : Comment form



# INVITATION TO COMMENT ON EFRAG'S ASSESSMENTS ON IFRS 19 Subsidiaries without Public Accountability: Disclosures

Once filled in, this form should be submitted by 3 September 2025 using the 'Comment publication link' available at the bottom of the respective news item. All open consultations can be found on EFRAG's website: <a href="Open consultations: express your views">Open consultations: express your views</a>.

EFRAG has been asked by the European Commission to provide it with advice and supporting material on IFRS 19 *Subsidiaries without Public Accountability: Disclosures* ('IFRS 19'). In order to do so, EFRAG has been carrying out an assessment of IFRS 19 against the technical criteria for endorsement set out in Regulation (EC) No 1606/2002 and has also been assessing the costs and benefits that would arise from its implementation in the European Union (the EU) and European Economic Area (EEA).

Background information about IFRS 19 is set out in Appendix 1 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.

Before finalising its assessment, EFRAG would welcome your views on the issues set out below. Please note that all responses received will be placed on the public record, unless the respondent requests confidentiality. In the interests of transparency, EFRAG will wish to discuss the responses it receives in a public meeting, so it is preferable that all responses can be published.

EFRAG's initial assessments, summarised in this questionnaire, will be updated for comments received from constituents when EFRAG is in the process of finalising its *Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.

#### **Your details**

Netherlands

1

Pleas	se provide the following details:
(a)	Your name or, if you are responding on behalf of an organisation or company, its
	name:
	Dutch Accounting Standards Board
(b)	Are you a:
	Preparer User Other (please specify)
	Standard setter
(c)	Please provide a short description of your activity:
	The Dutch Accounting Standards Board promotes high-quality external reporting in the Netherlands by issuing authoritative standards, advising public authorities on reporting matters, and engaging with international standard-setting bodies to help develop and refine accounting rules
(d)	Country where you are located:

(e) Contact details, including e-mail address:

2

RJ	RAAD VOOR DE JAARVERSLAGGEVING
	Dutch Accounting Standards Board
	pater Accounting Statutorias bourt
	DASB secretariat: Mercuriusplein 3, 2132 HA Hoofddorp
	Postbus 242, 2130 AE Hoofddorp
	Tel: +31 (0)88 4960 391
	secretariaa@rjnet.nl

EFRAG's initial assessment concluded that IFRS 19 meets the qualitative characteristics of relevance, reliability, comparability and understandability required to support economic

### EFRAG's initial assessment with respect to the technical criteria for endorsement

implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Dra Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe	decisi	ions and the assessment of stewardship, and raises no issues regarding prudent
Standards and that all necessary disclosures are required. Therefore, EFRAG has concluded that IFRS 19 is not contrary to the true and fair view principle. EFRAG's reasoning is explained in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.  (a) Do you agree with this assessment?  Yes No  If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	accou	inting. EFRAG has also assessed that IFRS 19, as disclosure-only standard for eligible
that IFRS 19 is not contrary to the true and fair view principle. EFRAG's reasoning is explained in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.  (a) Do you agree with this assessment?  Yes No  If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Drac Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	subsic	diaries, does not create any distortion in its interaction with other IFRS Accounting
in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.  (a) Do you agree with this assessment?  \[ \text{Yes}  \text{No} \]  If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  \[ \text{N/A} \]  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Drag Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	Stand	ards and that all necessary disclosures are required. Therefore, EFRAG has concluded
endorsement of IFRS 19.  (a) Do you agree with this assessment?  Yes No  If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying Drace Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	that If	FRS 19 is not contrary to the true and fair view principle. ${\sf EFRAG}$ 's reasoning is explained
<ul> <li>(a) Do you agree with this assessment?</li></ul>	in Ap	pendix 2 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding
Yes   No   If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.    N/A	endor	rsement of IFRS 19.
If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying Draw Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	(a)	Do you agree with this assessment?
implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.  N/A  (b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying Drace Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?		∑ Yes □ No
(b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Dra Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?		If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and what you believe the
(b) Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Dra Letter to the European Commission</i> regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?		implications of this could be for EFRAG's endorsement advice.
Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?		N/A
EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issue and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?	(b)	Are there any issues that are not mentioned in Appendix 2 of the accompanying <i>Draft</i>
and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?		Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement of IFRS 19 that you believe
		EFRAG should take into account in its technical evaluation? If so, what are those issues
N/A		and why do you believe they are relevant to the evaluation?
		N/A

#### The European public good

In its assessment of the impact of IFRS 19 on the European public good, EFRAG preliminary conclusion suggests that IFRS 19 would improve financial reporting and would reach an acceptable cost-benefit trade-off for eligible subsidiaries. EFRAG has not identified that IFRS 19 could have any adverse effect on the European economy, including financial stability and economic growth. Accordingly, EFRAG assesses that endorsing IFRS 19 is conducive to the European public good. EFRAG's reasoning is explained in Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 19.

#### Interaction with the EU Accounting Directive

4 EFRAG has conducted a comparative analysis of the requirements in the AD and IFRS 19, together with a high-level overview of the varying disclosure requirements in the AD for different sizes of entities. As a result of this assessment, EFRAG believes that the provisions of IFRS 19 and its limited scope will not result in a material departure from the disclosure requirements specified in the AD for the eligible entities and will continue to lead to high-quality financial reporting (see paragraphs 99 to 128 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 19).

Do you agree with the assessment?					
∑ Yes □ No					
If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could af EFRAG's endorsement advice.					
N/A					

#### Costs and benefits

- EFRAG has conducted more in-depth cost-benefit analysis of the potential impacts from implementation of IFRS 19 in the EU through various outreach activities with its constituents. EFRAG also estimated the number of entities potentially impacted by the implementation of IFRS 19 in the EU. Some initial work has been carried out, and the responses to this invitation to comment will be used to complete the assessment.
- The results of the initial assessment of costs are set out in paragraphs 150 to 160 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying *Draft Letter to the European Commission* regarding endorsement of IFRS 19. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that the implementation of IFRS 19 will result in limited and mainly one-off incremental costs for preparers, which will be mostly offset by efficiency gains resulting either from preparing annual accounts with fewer

disclosures for IFRS preparers or from no need to have dual records for former local GAAP preparers. However, the extent of these costs will differ among jurisdictions and will depend on the local requirements in respect of taxation, dividend distribution and audit, as well as on how the internal reporting processes are organised within a group. EFRAG also highlights that the standard is optional and is expected to be applied only by those who see benefits in it. Regarding costs for users, EFRAG's initial assessment is that implementation of IFRS 19 will not result in increased costs, apart from those resulting from some initial familiarisation efforts.

Do you agree with this assessment?
∑ Yes
If you do not, please explain why you do not and (if possible) explain broadly what you believe the costs involved will be?
N/A
In addition, EFRAG is assessing the benefits that are likely to be derived from IFRS 19. The
results of the initial assessment of benefits are set out in paragraph 161 to 169 of Appendix
3 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission regarding endorsement o
IFRS 19. To summarise, EFRAG's initial assessment is that users are likely to benefit from IFRS
19, as it will increase the relevance of the information and comparability between eligible
subsidiaries and therefore enhance their analysis. The preparers will also benefit from IFRS
19, as it will reduce the reporting burden and costs for the entities that will opt to apply the
Standard.
Do you agree with this assessment?
⊠ Yes □ No
If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how
this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
N/A

7

8

EFRAG's initial assessment is that the benefits to be derived from implementing IFRS 19 in the EU, as described in paragraph 7 above, are likely to outweigh the costs involved, as described in paragraphs 5 and 6 above. The feedback received from the outreach activities showed an overall consensus that IFRS 19 achieves a fair balance between the costs for

preparers and the information needs of users and that its endorsement would be beneficial for European entities. Furthermore, there was general agreement that the benefits would outweigh the costs, particularly given that IFRS 19 is a voluntary Standard that will only be applied by those entities and groups for which it is proven beneficial.

9 EFRAG also highlights that costs and benefits will vary across jurisdictions and entities. The benefits of comparability of financial statements and the cost savings from the use of a uniform accounting framework with fewer disclosures will increase with wider acceptance of IFRS Accounting Standards across Europe. Do you agree with this assessment? X Yes No If you do not agree with this assessment, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice. N/A Other factors EFRAG has identified a number of other factors that could be considered in assessing whether the endorsement of IFRS 19 is conducive to the European public good (as set out in paragraphs 173 to 186 of the accompanying Draft Letter to the European Commission). For understanding the potential effects to the European economy, and particularly the effect on competitiveness, a comparison between the requirements under IFRS 19 and U.S. GAAP has been conducted. EFRAG preliminarily assessed that overall, IFRS 19 is expected to have a positive impact on competitiveness, as it reduces disclosure burden for eligible subsidiaries. Even though, there are differences in approach between IFRS 19 and U.S. GAAP they are not expected to result in competitive disadvantage for European entities. EFRAG has not identified any adverse effects that IFRS 19 could have on the European economy, including financial stability and economic growth. Do you agree with the assessment of these factors? X Yes l No If you do not agree, please provide your arguments and indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.

N/A

	Do you agree that there are no other factors to consider in assessing whether the
	endorsement of the Amendments is conducive to the European public good?
	⊠ Yes  □ No
	If you do not agree, please identify the factors, provide your views on these factors and
	indicate how this could affect EFRAG's endorsement advice.
	N/A
Over	all assessment with respect to the European public good
11	EFRAG has initially concluded that endorsement of IFRS 19 would be conducive to the
	European public good (see paragraphs 187 to 189 of Appendix 3 of the accompanying Draft
	Letter to the European Commission).
	Do you agree with this conclusion?
	If you do not agree, please explain your reasons.
	N/A